FY2016 - National Brown	fields Grant Proposal Programmatic	Capability Evaluation - Assessment	8 M 1 A
	This will move you through the found of sedested By	মুধিনা Basis. If you hit the "Enter" key, you will be moved	
Proposal Number:	Applicant: Privileged Inter/Intra Agency	Document State:	
R04-16-A-003	City of Bay Minette	At:	
Date:	Reviewer:	(1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
1/22/2016			38
	Panking Catasta		
- 6 P	Ranking Criteria efer to the Guidelines for additional information on all	ranking eritorial	16.1.4257
Ranking Criteria		Comments	
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All		The state of the s	Score
applicants must respond to ranking criterion [28 points] Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	28
ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to successfully complete the project. Please refer to		Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document Specific Privilege:	28 <u>ح</u> ک
Ranking Criteria	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	Comments and a second s	
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants			Score
must respond to ranking criterion [2 points] Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
proclaims any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had a grederal or non-federal assistance agreement. [Failure to indidness this section may result in zero points for this			2
Ranking Criteria		omments	production of the Control
7.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and ccomplishments - Applicant who urrently or has ever received an EPA rownfields Grant [10 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Score

 Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Discuss your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you have made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. If you are not, please explain why. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated. 		
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable quarterly performance and grant deliverables, as well as, ongoing Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) reporting.		
If you have an open EPA Brownfield grant(s), please explain your need for additional funding. Additionally, for all open grant(s) indicate the grant period (start and end date), if there are funds remaining, and the plan to expend funds by the end of the grant period.	12	
For all closed EPA Brownfields grant(s), indicate if there were funds remaining at the time of closure, the amount of remaining funds and a brief explanation of why the funds were not expended.		

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

SpecificPrivilege:	
--------------------	--

2. Accomplishments (5 Points)		
Describe the accomplishments (including specific		
outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program.		
including at minimum, the number of sites assessed		
and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and		
outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the		j.
time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		
explain why		
Panking Criteria		
Ranking Criteria V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and	Comments	Score
Assemblishments Assistant		
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		h 3
not received an EPA Brownfields Grant		8
but has received other federal or non-	the state of the s	8
federal assistance agreements [10 points]		
1 Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points)		
Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of		
funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received.	Mining the second of the secon	
Discuss the accomplishments (including specific		
outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by		No.
these grants, including specific measures of success for		4
the project supported by each type of grant received		
Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points)		
Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule		
and terms and conditions. Include whether you made.		1
or are making, progress towards achieving the	the state of the s	
expected results of the grant in a timely manner.		
Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are	4	4
taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated.		*
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting.		
as required by the awarding agency/organization.		11
Ranking Criteria		Score
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and		
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		
never received any type of federal or non-		
federal assistance agreements		
[5 points]		
General Comments (Optional)		
Reviewers may include any general comments on the	1	54 W
applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability	ı	
criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria	1	
scores or the final Programmatic Capability score	ı	
		Programme Care State
		N- I

FY16 Assessment Programmatic Capability Evaluation Form

3 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege: Deliberative Process

All transfer in South Committee of the C

seem in the contract

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege:	
---------------------	--

FY2016 - National Brown	fields Grant Proposal Programmatic C	Capability Evaluation - Assessment	
INSTRUCTIONS: To navigate through this form, use the "Tab" keys. 1		supetion basis. If you hit the "Enter" key you will be moved	
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	
R04-16-A-004	City of Birmingham	AL	
Date:	Reviewer:		Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
1/22/2016			40
121.0 to 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Ranking Criteria		
TO SEE THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	efer to the Guidelines for additional information on all r	ranking criterial	
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All	中国 基础的图片中国中国	TO THE RESERVE WAS A STREET OF THE STREET OF THE STREET	Score
applicants must respond to ranking criterion [28 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	28
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience.			28
Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to successfully complete the project. Please refer to			004:255
Ranking Criteria	SECURE SELECTION DESCRIPTION OF DELECT	Comments	
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants		Jointelles .	Score
must respond to ranking criterion [2	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had a federal or non-federal assistance agreement. [Failure to address this section may result in zero points for this			2
(antan)			
Ranking Criteria		omments	Score
V.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who currently or has ever received an EPA Brownfields Grant [10 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	10

FY16 Assessment Programmatic Capability Evaluation Form

Information Redacted pursuant to
5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5,
Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document
cificPrivilege:

SpecificPrivilege: _

"affice this exist has been first and ingraph of the profit of the pro

end of That

measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated. Discuss your history of timely and acceptable quarterly performance and grant deliverables, as well as, ongoing Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) reporting. If you have an open EPA Brownfield grant(s), please explain your need for additional funding. Additionally, for all open grant(s) indicate the grant period (start and end date), if there are funds remaining, and the plan to expend funds by the end of the grant period. For all closed EPA Brownfields grant(s), indicate if there were funds remaining at the time of closure, the amount of remaining funds and a brief explanation of why the funds were not expended.	corrective measures were documented and
--	---

2. Accomplishments (5 Points)		
Describe the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program, including at minimum, the number of sites assessed and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		5
Ranking Criteria		
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and	Comments	Score
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		
not received an EPA Brownfields Grant		
but has received other federal or non-		0
federal assistance agreements [10 points]		
Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points)		
Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received		
Discuss the accomplishments (including specific		
outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by		
these grants, including specific measures of success for the project supported by each type of grant received.		
Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule		
and terms and conditions. Include whether you made,		
or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner		
Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are		
taking, and how the corrective measures were		
documented and communicated.		
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting, as required by the awarding agency/organization.		
Ranking Criteria		Score
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and		50010
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		1
never received any type of federal or non-		
federal assistance agreements		
[5 points]		
General Comments (Optional)		
Reviewers may include any general comments on the		
applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability		
criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria scores or the final Programmatic Capability score		

3 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege: Deliberative Proces

FY2016 - National Brown	nfields Grant Proposal Programmatic	Capability Evaluation - Assessment	
INSTRUCTIONS: To navigate through this form, use the "Tab" keys.		question basis. If you hit the "Enter" key you will be moved	
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	
R04-16-A-005	City of Decatur	Alabama	
Date:	Reviewer:		Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
2/5/2016			40
1938 Starking West Stark Stark	Ranking Criteria	and the support the state of th	
。 [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	refer to the Guidelines for additional information on all	ranking criterial	
Ranking Criteria	THE PERSON OF TH	Comments	Score
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [28 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	28
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to successfully complete the project. Please refer to			28
Ranking Criteria	Constitution of the consti	Comments	Score
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [2 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had a federal or non-federal assistance agreement. [Failure to address this section may result in zero points for this			2
Ranking Criteria	BELVINES WHEN THE STREET STREET	Comments	
V.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and	NOTES A SECURITION OF THE SECURITION OF SECU	Comments	Score
Accomplishments - Applicant who currently or has ever received an EPA Brownfields Grant [10 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	10

1 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege:

1 Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Discuss your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you have made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. If you are not, please explain why. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated.		
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable quarterly performance and grant deliverables, as well as, ongoing Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) reporting		5
If you have an open EPA Brownfield grant(s), please explain your need for additional funding. Additionally, for all open grant(s) indicate the grant period (start and end date), if there are funds remaining, and the plan to expend funds by the end of the grant period.		
For all closed EPA Brownfields grant(s), indicate if there were funds remaining at the time of closure, the amount of remaining funds and a brief explanation of why the funds were not expended.		

2 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document Specific Privilege:

2. Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program, including at minimum, the number of sites assessed and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		5
Ranking Criteria	Comments	
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and	Comments	Score
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		
not received an EPA Brownfields Grant		
but has received other federal or non-		0
federal assistance agreements [10 points]		,,,,
Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points)		
Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received.		
Discuss the accomplishments (including specific		
outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by		
these grants, including specific measures of success for		
the project supported by each type of grant received.		
9.		
Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points)		
Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule		
and terms and conditions. Include whether you made. or are making, progress towards achieving the		
expected results of the grant in a timely manner.		
Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are		
taking, and how the corrective measures were		
documented and communicated.		
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting.		
as required by the awarding agency/organization.		
Ranking Criteria		Score
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and		0000
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		
never received any type of federal or non-		1
federal assistance agreements		
I5 points?		
Constal Comments (Ontional)		
General Comments (Optional) Reviewers may include any general comments on the		
applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability		
criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria		17, 1
scores or the final Programmatic Capability score		
100000 201 203		

3 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege: Deliberative Wocess

The state of the s

INSTRUCTIONS: To navigate through this form, use the "Tab" keys. through the form vertically, which will no longer pro	This will move you through the form on a question-by- ompt you to answer questions on a question-by-questi	question basis. If you hit the "Enter" key, you will be moved on basis.	
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	
R04-16-A-006	City of Dothan	AL	
Dato:	Reviewer:		Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
1/22/201	6		37
The state of the s			
	Ranking Criteria refer to the Guidelines for additional information on all	ranking critorial	
Ranking Criteria	To the Galactines to additional information on all	Comments	Score
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [28 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	27
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to successfully complete the project. Please refer to			27
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [2 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had rederal or non-federal assistance agreement. [Failure to address this section may result in zero points for this			2
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Contract
V.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who currently or has ever received an EPA Brownfields Grant [10 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	Score 0

FY2016 - National Brownfields Grant Proposal Programmatic Capability Evaluation - Assessment

FY16 Assessment Programmatic Capability Evaluation Form

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

SpecificPrivilege: _

1 of 3

Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Discuss your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you have made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. If you are not, please explain why. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the		
corrective measures were documented and communicated.		
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable quarterly		
performance and grant deliverables, as well as, ongoing Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) reporting.		
If you have an open EPA Brownfield grant(s), please explain your need for additional funding. Additionally, for all open grant(s) indicate the grant period (start and		
end date), if there are funds remaining, and the plan to expend funds by the end of the grant period.		
For all closed EPA Brownfields grant(s), indicate if there were funds remaining at the time of closure, the amount		
of remaining funds and a brief explanation of why the funds were not expended.		
		(

en la companya i de la companya de l

and the part had a

2 Accomplishments (5 Points)		
Describe the accomplishments (including specific		
outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program.	l l	
including at minimum, the number of sites assessed		
and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and		
outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the		
time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		
explain why.		
Ranking Criteria	Community	
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and	Comments	Score
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		
not received an EPA Brownfields Grant		
but has received other federal or non-		8
federal assistance agreements [10 points]		
Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points)		
Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of		
funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received.		
Discuss the accomplishments (including specific		
outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by		
these grants, including specific measures of success for		4
the project supported by each type of grant received.		
Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points)		
Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule		
and terms and conditions. Include whether you made.	The second secon	
or are making, progress towards achieving the		
expected results of the grant in a timely manner.	l l	
Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were	l l	4
documented and communicated	# P	335
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting.		
as required by the awarding agency/organization		
Ranking Criteria		
		Score
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and		
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		
never received any type of federal or non-		
federal assistance agreements	the contract of the first of the contract of t	1
[5 points]		
General Comments (Optional)		
Reviewers may include any general comments on the		94: 1 16: 16: 16: 16: 16: 16: 16: 16: 16:
applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability	ı	v
criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria.	1	
scores or the final Programmatic Capability score		
20 AV 1995		

3 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege: Velberative Mcc

Land of the state of the state

FY2016 - National Brown	nfields Grant Proposal Programmatic C	apability Evaluation - Assessment	
INSTRUCTIONS: To navigate through this form, use the "Tab" keys.		uestion basis. If you hit the "Enter" key, you will be moved	The second second
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	- the first or constitution of
R04-16-A-007	City of Selma	AL	the state of the state of the state of
Date:	Reviewer:		Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
1/22/2016	6	_ 44.0 L	40
	Ranking Criteria		A PRINT DISPERSION AND A
The state of the second second second second	refer to the Guidelines for additional information on all re	ranking criteria)	
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion 128 points1	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	28
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to successfully complete the project. Please refer to			28
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [2 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
DOWNESS. Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had a federal or non-federal assistance agreement. [Failure to address this section may result in zero points for this		Completion (1) September 1995 (1	2
Ranking Criteria	EW POWER CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF T	Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who currently or has ever received an EPA	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	10

Brownfields Grant [10 points]

1 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege:

Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Discuss your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you have made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. If you are not, please explain why. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the			
corrective measures were documented and communicated.			
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable quarterly performance and grant deliverables, as well as, ongoing Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) reporting.			5
If you have an open EPA Brownfield grant(s), please explain your need for additional funding. Additionally, for all open grant(s) indicate the grant period (start and end date), if there are funds remaining, and the plan to expend funds by the end of the grant period.			114
For all closed EPA Brownfields grant(s), indicate if there were funds remaining at the time of closure, the amount of remaining funds and a brief explanation of why the funds were not expended			
	fil	to management is	

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

SpecificPrivilege:

2 Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the accomplishments (including specific		
outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program.		
including at minimum, the number of sites assessed		ľ!
and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and		5
outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the		3
time of this proposal submission, and if not, please		
explain why		ļ
Ranking Criteria	Campania	
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and	Comments	Score
Accomplishments - Applicant who has	AND THE RESERVE AND THE RESERV	
not received an EPA Brownfields Grant		897
but has received other federal or non-		0
federal assistance agreements [10 points]		
Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points)		
Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of		
funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received.		
Discuss the accomplishments (including specific		
outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by		
these grants, including specific measures of success for	1	
the project supported by each type of grant received.		
2. Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points)		
Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule	I	
and terms and conditions. Include whether you made,	ļ	1
or are making, progress towards achieving the	1	
expected results of the grant in a timely manner.	•	i
Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were		- 1
documented and communicated.		
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting,		
as required by the awarding agency/organization		
Ranking Criteria		See
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and		Score
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		ii i
never received any type of federal or non-		1
federal assistance agreements		1
[5 points]		
Consest Comments (Ontional)		
General Comments (Optional) Reviewers may include any general comments on the		- 54.5
applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability	1	
criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria.		
scores or the final Programmatic Capability score.		5 5E 1
90-00 = 00 CMF		1 2 32.3
		ar a 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10

3 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege:

INSTRUCTIONS: To navigate through this form, use the "Tab" keys.	This will move you through the form on a question-by-compt you to answer questions on a question-by-question Applicant: Town of Century	question basis. If you hit the "Enter" key, you will be moved	Programmatic Capability
Date:	Reviewer:		Score: (40 points maximum)
1/31/2016			38
图 2000年 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ranking Criteria		
	efer to the Guidelines for additional information on all	ranking criteria)	
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion I28 points!	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	26
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to successfully complete the project. Please refer to			26
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [2 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had a federal or non-federal assistance agreement. [Failure to address this section may result in zero points for this festers!			2
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who currently or has ever received an EPA Brownfields Grant [10 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	0

1 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege:	
---------------------	--

Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Discuss your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you have made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. If you are not, please explain why. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the	lh .	
corrective measures were documented and communicated.		
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable quarterly performance and grant deliverables, as well as, ongoing Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) reporting.		
If you have an open EPA Brownfield grant(s), please explain your need for additional funding. Additionally, for all open grant(s) indicate the grant period (start and end date), if there are funds remaining, and the plan to expend funds by the end of the grant period.		
For all closed EPA Brownfields grant(s), indicate if there were funds remaining at the time of closure, the amount of remaining funds and a brief explanation of why the funds were not expended		

zám insár ze

2 Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program, including at minimum, the number of sites assessed and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		
Ranking Criteria	Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and		
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		
not received an EPA Brownfields Grant		10
but has received other federal or non-		,,,
federal assistance agreements [10 points]		
Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points)		
Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of		
funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received.		
Discuss the accomplishments (including specific		
outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by		5
these grants, including specific measures of success for		ű
the project supported by each type of grant received.		
Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points)		
Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule		
and terms and conditions. Include whether you made, or are making, progress towards achieving the		
expected results of the grant in a timely manner.		
Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are		
taking, and how the corrective measures were		5
documented and communicated.		
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting.		
as required by the awarding agency/organization.		
Ranking Criteria		Score
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and		
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		
never received any type of federal or non-		
federal assistance agreements		·
[5 points]		
General Comments (Optional)		
Reviewers may include any general comments on the		The Equipment of the St.
applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability		트레이 이 , 그 생생이다.
criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria	1	것 :
scores or the final Programmatic Capability score		

3 of 3

Mount to the second section of the second sec

FY2016 - National Brown	fields Grant Proposal Programmatic	Capability Evaluation - Assessment	
INSTRUCTIONS: To navigate through this form, use the "Tab" keys. T		question basis. If you hit the "Enter" key, you will be moved	
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	
R04-16-A-016	City of Ormond Beach	FL	
Date:	Reviewer:		Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
2/5/2016			40
	Parking Collegia		
the said of the sa	Ranking Criteria efer to the Guidelines for additional information on all	ranking criteria)	
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [28 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	28
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to successfully complete the project. Please refer to			28
Ranking Criteria	SANCE NAMED OF THE WAS TOO TO SERVE OF	Comments	Cons
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants	CONTROL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	Distriction of the control of the co	Score
must respond to ranking criterion [2	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had a federal or non-federal assistance agreement. IFailure to address this section may result in zero points for this federal.			2
Panking Criteria	April 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	Comments	
Ranking Criteria V.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and	NEW TONORS OF SERVICE STORY	Comments	Score
Accomplishments - Applicant who currently or has ever received an EPA Brownfields Grant [10 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	0

1 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

SpecificPrivilege:

7 1 11		
	, 	

2. Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program, including at minimum, the number of sites assessed and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		
Ranking Criteria	Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who has not received an EPA Brownfields Grant but has received other federal or non- federal assistance agreements [10 points]		10
Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received Discuss the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by these grants, including specific measures of success for the project supported by each type of grant received.		5
2. Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated. Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting, as required by the awarding agency/organization.		5
Sanking Critoria		
Ranking Criteria V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and		Score
Accomplishments - Applicant who has never received any type of federal or non-federal assistance agreements		
General Comments (Optional) Reviewers may include any general comments on the applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria scores or the final Programmatic Capability score		

3 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege:

The state of the s

	the "Tab" keys. This will move you through the form o will no longer prompt you to answer questions on a qu	on a question-by-question basis. If you hit the "Enter" key, you will be moved restion-by-question basis.	
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	
R04-16-A-044	Town of Spencer	NC	
Date:	Reviewer:		Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
	1/26/2016		40

是可能的特別。 1. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Ranking Criteria		
	r to the Guidelines for additional information on all ran		
Ranking Criteria	Co	mments	Score
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion 128 points!	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	28
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and mancial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles. expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and esources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to uccessfully complete the project. Please refer to election IV.F regarding contractors and subawards.			28

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document Sific Privilege:

Specific Privilege: Deliberative Process

1 of 4

随意思想的更加的意思。 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	omments	Score
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
		2
C	omments	Score
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	o
	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points) Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points) Comments

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document SpecificPrivilege:

2. Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program, including at minimum, the number of sites assessed and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		
Ranking Criteria	Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and		
Accomplishments - Applicant who has not received an EPA Brownfields Grant but has received other federal or non- federal assistance agreements [10 points]		10
Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received.		
Discuss the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by these grants, including specific measures of success for the project supported by each type of grant received.		5
2. Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were		5
documented and communicated Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting, as required by the awarding agency/organization.		
Ranking Criteria		Score
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who has never received any type of federal or non- federal assistance agreements		

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege: Delberat

3 of 4

General Comments (Optional)	May - Section District Comments
Reviewers may include any general comments on the	
applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability	
criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria	Section in the property of the section
scores or the final Programmatic Capability score	
	 建筑是一个工作。

	the "Tab" keys. This will move you through the form owill no longer prompt you to answer questions on a qu	on a question-by-question basis. If you hit the "Enter" key, you will be estion-by-question basis.	moved
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	- 東京 大 2 年 1 日 4 日 1 日 1 日 1 日 1 日 1 日 1 日 1 日 1 日
R04-16-A-047	Haywood County	NC NC	the state of the s
Date:	Reviewer:	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
	1/26/2016		40

在北京市场上的工作。1990年中国大学的工作工作中的工作工作。	Ranking Criteria		September 1988 Septem	
	er to the Guidelines for additional information on all rar	king criteria)	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	
Ranking Criteria	Co	omments	Score	
/.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All pplicants must respond to ranking	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	28	
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to insure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and nancial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to propriately acquire any additional expertise and esources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to uccessfully complete the project. Please refer to section IV.F regarding contractors and subawards.			28	

1 of 4

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

SpecificPrivilege:

Commence of the Commence of th	omments	Score
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
		2
Constitution of the Consti	omments and a second se	Score
Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	0
	9	
	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Comments

2 of 4

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

SpecificPrivilege:	
--------------------	--

Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program, including at minimum, the number of sites assessed and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		
Ranking Criteria	Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who has not received an EPA Brownfields Grant but has received other federal or non- federal assistance agreements [10 points]		10
Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received. Discuss the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by these grants, including specific measures of success for the project supported by each type of grant received.		5

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

SpecificPrivilege:

3 of 4

Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated.		5
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting, as required by the awarding agency/organization.		
Ranking Criteria	With the bridge the same of the same of the same	Score
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who has never received any type of federal or non- federal assistance agreements		
General Comments (Optional) Reviewers may include any general comments on the applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria scores or the final Programmatic Capability score.		

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

SpecificPrivilege:

The state of the second of the

	This will move you through the form on a question-by- mpt you to answer questions on a question-by-questi	question basis. If you hit the "Enter" key, you will be moved on basis.	
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	
R04-16-A-053	Richmond County	NC	
Date:	Reviewer:		Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
1/13/2016			40
	Ranking Criteria	表现的。由此中国的企业的企业和企业的区域。由1965年,1965年	
Ranking Criteria	efer to the Guidelines for additional information on all	Comments	Score
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All		Comments	Score
applicants must respond to ranking	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	28
criterion [28 points] Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and			28
resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to successfully complete the project. Please refer to			
Ranking Criteria	Participated and employed and the second second	Comments	Score
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [2 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had a federal or non-federal assistance agreement. [Failure to address this section may result in zero points for this			2
		A	
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who currently or has ever received an EPA Brownfields Grant [10 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	0

FY2016 - National Brownfields Grant Proposal Programmatic Capability Evaluation - Assessment

FY16 Assessment Programmatic Capability Evaluation Form

Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points)		
Discuss your compliance with the work plan, schedule	!	
and terms and conditions. Include whether you have		
made, or are making, progress towards achieving the]	
expected results of the grant in a timely manner. If you		
are not, please explain why. Discuss what corrective	!	i) 9
measures you took, or are taking, and how the		
corrective measures were documented and		
communicated.		
MANAGER AND		l I
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable quarterly		
performance and grant deliverables, as well as, ongoing		
Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange	!	
System (ACRES) reporting.		
If you have an open EPA Brownfield grant(s), please		
explain your need for additional funding. Additionally,		
for all open grant(s) indicate the grant period (start and		
end date), if there are funds remaining, and the plan to)
expend funds by the end of the grant period.		1
expend tonds by the end of the grant period.		
For all closed EPA Brownfields grant(s), indicate if there		
were funds remaining at the time of closure, the amount		
of remaining funds and a brief explanation of why the		*
funds were not expended.	- M	
1		1

2 Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program, including at minimum, the number of sites assessed and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		3
	O	
Ranking Criteria	Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and		
Accomplishments - Applicant who has not received an EPA Brownfields Grant		100000
but has received other federal or non-		10
70.70 J. C.		
federal assistance agreements [10 points]		
Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points)		
Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of		
funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received.		
Discuss the accomplishments (including specific		_
outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by		5
these grants, including specific measures of success for the project supported by each type of grant received.		
the project supported by each type of grant received.		
1		
 Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are 		9 I
taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated.		5
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting,		
as required by the awarding agency/organization.		
Ranking Criteria		Score
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and		
Accomplishments - Applicant who has		1
never received any type of federal or non-		
federal assistance agreements		1
[5 noints]		
General Comments (Optional)		
Reviewers may include any general comments on the applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria		Z 20 3 43
scores or the final Programmatic Capability score		724 10
		<u> </u>

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document Specific Privilege;

Address of the contract of the

FY2016 - National Brown	nfields Grant Proposal Programmatic (Capability Evaluation - Assessment	a market her significant
	This will move you through the form on a question-by- ompt you to answer questions on a question-by-question	question basis. If you hit the "Enter" key, you will be moved on basis.	1 2 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	The state of the s
R04-16-A-059	Allendale County	sc	
Date:	Reviewer:		Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
1/28/2016			40
	Ranking Criteria	Report Control on Screen Services	
	refer to the Guidelines for additional information on all	ranking criteria]	
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All applicants must respond to ranking	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	28
criterion [28 points]	Caerigate (Notice for dividing points)	Treatifesses (Neasons for not arrangly points)	253.50
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and		<i>8</i>	28
resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to successfully complete the project. Please refer to Ranking Criteria		Comments	
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants	C ROTHINGS OF TAXABLE VAN	Comments	Score
must respond to ranking criterion [2 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had a federal or non-federal assistance agreement. [Failure to address this section may result in zero points for this			2
Ranking Criteria	CONTROL OF CONTROL OF THE PARTY	Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who currently or has ever received an EPA Brownfields Grant [10 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	10

1 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document Specific Privilege:

 Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Discuss your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you have made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. If you are not, please explain why. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated. 		
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable quarterly performance and grant deliverables, as well as, ongoing Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) reporting.		5
If you have an open EPA Brownfield grant(s), please explain your need for additional funding. Additionally, for all open grant(s) indicate the grant period (start and end date), if there are funds remaining, and the plan to expend funds by the end of the grant period.		
For all closed EPA Brownfields grant(s), indicate if there were funds remaining at the time of closure, the amount of remaining funds and a brief explanation of why the funds were not expended.		

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

SpecificPrivilege:

2 Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program, including at minimum, the number of sites assessed and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		¥	5
Ranking Criteria	Co	omments	Score
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and	_		8
Accomplishments - Applicant who has			
not received an EPA Brownfields Grant			0
but has received other federal or non-			3
federal assistance agreements [10 points]	j		
Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points)			
Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of	i e		
funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received.	A		
Discuss the assemble beauty (such discuss of a			
Discuss the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by			
these grants, including specific measures of success for			
the project supported by each type of grant received.	í l		1
			¥ I
			Ü
2. Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points)			
Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you made.			1
or are making, progress towards achieving the			1
expected results of the grant in a timely manner	į.		1
Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are	M9		1
taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated.			
documented and communicated.			1
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting.			
as required by the awarding agency/organization.			
Ranking Criteria			Score
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and			
Accomplishments - Applicant who has			1
never received any type of federal or non-			1
federal assistance agreements	and the sale was a second second from the second	and the state of the same of t	1
[5 noints]			
General Comments (Optional)			
Reviewers may include any general comments on the		3	
applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability		1	오 고급 모바 뭐
criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria.			
scores or the final Programmatic Capability score		ı	

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege:

FY2016 - National Brown	nfields Grant Proposal Programmatic	Capability Evaluation - Assessment	M. Aller M. P. Z. S. Aller S. C.
INSTRUCTIONS: To navigate through this form, use the "Tab" keys.		question basis. If you hit the "Enter" key, you will be moved	
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	e Propins de la montre de Mariana. La maria de la maria de la Mariana de la maria de
R04-16-A-061	The Town of Central	sc	Service Control of
Date:	Reviewer:		Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
2/1/201	6		40
DESCRIPTION OF THE TRANSPORTED TO A	Ranking Criteria	ACCOUNTS AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	al Country of the Cou
Mark the second of the second of the	refer to the Guidelines for additional information on all	ranking criteria]	
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [28 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	28
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to successfully complete the project. Please refer to Section IV.F regarding contractors and subawards.			28
Ranking Criteria	1 10 FORE LANCE LINE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	Comments	Score
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [2 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2

and the second s Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege: 1

Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have 2 not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had a federal or non-federal assistance agreement. [Failure to address this section may result in zero points for this Ranking Criteria Comments Score V.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who 0 Strengths (Reasons for awarding points) Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points) currently or has ever received an EPA Brownfields Grant [10 points] 1. Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Discuss your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you have made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. If you are not, please explain why. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated Discuss your history of timely and acceptable quarterly performance and grant deliverables, as well as, ongoing Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) reporting If you have an open EPA Brownfield grant(s), please explain your need for additional funding. Additionally, for all open grant(s) indicate the grant period (start and end date), if there are funds remaining, and the plan to expend funds by the end of the grant period. For all closed EPA Brownfields grant(s), indicate if there were funds remaining at the time of closure, the amount of remaining funds and a brief explanation of why the funds were not expended.

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

5	pecificPrivile	ge:	

2 Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program, including at minimum, the number of sites assessed and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		0
Ranking Criteria	Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who has not received an EPA Brownfields Grant but has received other federal or non- federal assistance agreements [10 points]		10
1. Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received. Discuss the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by these grants, including specific measures of success for the project supported by each type of grant received.		5
2 Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting, as required by the awarding agency/organization.		5
Ranking Criteria		Cana
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and		Score
Accomplishments - Applicant who has never received any type of federal or non-federal assistance agreements		
General Comments (Optional) Reviewers may include any general comments on the applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability conteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria accores or the final Programmatic Capability score.		

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5). Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege: De la Doratile do Ces

.

	This will move you through the form on a question-by-compt you to answer questions on a question-by-question	question basis. If you hit the "Enter" key, you will be moved on basis.	
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	
R04-16-A-076	East Central Florida Regional Planning Council	FL	
Date:	Reviewer:		Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
1/25/2016			40
	Parklas Citada		
Liberty Company of the Company of th	Ranking Criteria efer to the Guidelines for additional information on all	ranking criteria)	
Ranking Criteria		Comments	Score
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All			學的文學學的學習
applicants must respond to ranking criterion [28 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	28
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to			28
successfully complete the project. Please refer to			l
Ranking Criteria	TOTAL TOTAL STATE OF THE STATE	Comments	Score
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [2 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had a ederal or non-federal assistance agreement. [Failure to didress this section may result in zero points for this least and the section of the section in the section in the section of the section in the secti			2
Ranking Criteria	Production of the production of the production of	Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and	#555295.0256.0466.0050.0000.005.0000.0000.0000	A THE STATE OF THE	SCOID
Accomplishments - Applicant who currently or has ever received an EPA Brownfields Grant [10 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	0

FY2016 - National Brownfields Grant Proposal Programmatic Capability Evaluation - Assessment

FY16 Assessment Programmatic Capability Evaluation Form

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5), Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

Specific Privilege: Deliberative Pocess

Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points)			
Discuss your compliance with the work plan, schedule			
and terms and conditions. Include whether you have			
made, or are making, progress towards achieving the			
expected results of the grant in a timely manner. If you			
are not, please explain why. Discuss what corrective			
measures you took, or are taking, and how the			
corrective measures were documented and			
communicated.	1		
		1	
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable quarterly	1		
performance and grant deliverables, as well as, ongoing			
Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange		ı	
System (ACRES) reporting.			1
If you have an open EPA Brownfield grant(s), please			
explain your need for additional funding. Additionally,)
for all open grant(s) indicate the grant period (start and			
end date), if there are funds remaining, and the plan to			
expend funds by the end of the grant period.			
For all closed EPA Brownfields grant(s), indicate if there			0
were funds remaining at the time of closure, the amount			
of remaining funds and a brief explanation of why the			i i
funds were not expended			
		i i	

The object of sales of the sale

2 Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program, including at minimum, the number of sites assessed and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why.		
Ranking Criteria	Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who has not received an EPA Brownfields Grant but has received other federal or non- federal assistance agreements [10 points]		10
1. Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received. Discuss the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by these grants, including specific measures of success for the project supported by each type of grant received.		5
2. Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated. Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting, as required by the awarding agency/organization		5
Darklar Calenda		
Ranking Criteria		Score
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who has never received any type of federal or non- federal assistance agreements IS points?		
General Comments (Optional)		
Reviewers may include any general comments on the applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria scores or the final Programmatic Capability score		
NO		

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5). Exemption 5, Privileged Inter/Intra Agency Document

SpecificPrivilege:

FY16 Assessment Programmatic Capability Evaluation Form

FY2016 - National Brown			
INSTRUCTIONS: To navigate through this form, use the "Tab" keys. I through the form vertically, which will no longer pro			
Proposal Number:	Applicant:	State:	
R04-16-A-078	Clarksdale, Mississippi	MS	
Date:	Reviewer:		Programmatic Capability Score: (40 points maximum)
2/10/2016			33
	Ranking Criteria		FOREST SERVICE
The second second second second second [r	efer to the Guidelines for additional information on all	ranking criteria]	O with an extra and with the
Ranking Criteria	TO SEE SECTION OF THE PARTY OF	Comments	
V.B.5.a. Programmatic Capability - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [28 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	26
Describe the organizational structure you will utilize to ensure the timely and successful expenditure of funds and completion of all technical, administrative and financial requirements of the project and grant. Include a brief discussion of the key staff including their roles, expertise, qualifications, and experience. Describe the system(s) you have in place to appropriately acquire any additional expertise and resources (e.g. contractors or subawardees) required to			26
successfully complete the project. Please refer to Ranking Criteria		Score	
V.B.5.b. Audit Findings - All applicants must respond to ranking criterion [2 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	2
Describe any adverse audit findings. If you have had problems with the administration of any grants (e.g., compliance reporting, expenditure of funds), please describe how you have corrected, or are correcting, the problems. If you have not, please affirm that you have not had any adverse audit findings. Respond to this criterion regardless of whether or not you have had a federal or non-federal assistance agreement. [Failure to address this section may result in zero points for this			2
Ranking Criteria	CONTROL 12 12 12 12 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	Score	
V.B.5.c.i. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who currently or has ever received an EPA Brownfields Grant [10 points]	Strengths (Reasons for awarding points)	Comments Weaknesses (Reasons for not awarding points)	0

1 of 3

Information Redacted pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552 (b)(5). Exemption 5, Privileged Interchara Agency Document ecific Privilege; Deliberative at UCess

Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points)			
Discuss your compliance with the work plan, schedule			
and terms and conditions. Include whether you have			
made, or are making, progress towards achieving the			털
expected results of the grant in a timely manner. If you			
are not, please explain why. Discuss what corrective			
measures you took, or are taking, and how the			
corrective measures were documented and			<u>}</u>
communicated.			
Discuss your history of timely and acceptable quarterly			P.
performance and grant deliverables, as well as, ongoing	1		
Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange			i)
System (ACRES) reporting)!
			<i>I</i> .
f you have an open EPA Brownfield grant(s), please			P
explain your need for additional funding. Additionally,			l)
for all open grant(s) indicate the grant period (start and			8
end date), if there are funds remaining, and the plan to			il a
expend funds by the end of the grant period.			8
For all closed EPA Brownfields grant(s), indicate if there			
were funds remaining at the time of closure, the amount	1		
of remaining funds and a brief explanation of why the			Ø.
funds were not expended			
	1)	8

2 Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of your grant funded program, including at minimum, the number of sites assessed and/or cleaned up. Discuss whether these outputs and outcomes were accurately reflected in ACRES at the time of this proposal submission, and if not, please explain why		
Ranking Criteria	Comments	Score
V.B.5.c.ii. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who has not received an EPA Brownfields Grant but has received other federal or non- federal assistance agreements [10 points]		5
Purpose and Accomplishments (5 Points) Describe the awarding agency/organization, amount of funding, and purpose of the grant(s) you have received. Discuss the accomplishments (including specific outputs and outcomes) of the project supported by these grants, including specific measures of success for the project supported by each type of grant received.		5
Compliance with Grant Requirements (5 Points) Describe your compliance with the work plan, schedule and terms and conditions. Include whether you made, or are making, progress towards achieving the expected results of the grant in a timely manner. Discuss what corrective measures you took, or are taking, and how the corrective measures were documented and communicated. Discuss your history of timely and acceptable reporting.		0
as required by the awarding agency/organization.		
Ranking Criteria	and the control of th	Score
V.B.5.c.iii. Past Performance and Accomplishments - Applicant who has never received any type of federal or non- federal assistance agreements IS points!	t t	
General Comments (Optional) Reviewers may include any general comments on the applicant's response to the Programmatic Capability criteria. These comments will not impact subcriteria scores or the final Programmatic Capability score.		

Information Redacted pursuant to
5 U.S.C. Section 352 (b)(5), Exemption 5,
Privileged Interdigital Agency Document

Specific Privilege: Differential Devative Process